

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
State of Oklahoma

SPEAKER:

CHAIR:

I move to amend HB3453 _____ Of the printed Bill
Page _____ Section _____ Lines _____

Of the Engrossed Bill

By deleting the content of the entire measure, and by inserting in lieu
thereof the following language:

AMEND TITLE TO CONFORM TO AMENDMENTS

Adopted: _____

Amendment submitted by: Jonathan Wilk _____

Reading Clerk

1 STATE OF OKLAHOMA

2 2nd Session of the 60th Legislature (2026)

3 PROPOSED POLICY
4 COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE
5 FOR
6 HOUSE BILL NO. 3453

7
8 By: Wilk

9 PROPOSED POLICY COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE

10 An Act relating to eminent domain; defining term;
11 establishing standards for judicial review; requiring
12 de novo judicial review of public use and necessity
13 determinations; requiring strict construction of
eminent domain statutes; establishing burdens of
proof; establishing disclosure requirements;
providing for award of costs to prevailing property
owners; providing for codification; and providing an
effective date.

14
15 BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA:

16 SECTION 1. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified
17 in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 42 of Title 27, unless there is
18 created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

19 A. As used in this section, "de novo judicial review" means a
20 plenary, independent judicial examination and determination of all
21 legal and factual questions relating to public use, necessity, and
22 compliance with applicable law, made without any deference to prior
23 findings, assertions, conclusions, resolutions, interpretations, or
24 declarations by the condemning authority.

1 B. Any determination made by a condemning authority regarding
2 whether a proposed taking constitutes a lawful public use, whether
3 the taking is necessary for that public use, and whether the
4 condemnation complies with statutory and constitutional
5 requirements, shall be subject to de novo judicial review. A court
6 shall strictly construe all eminent domain statutes in favor of
7 the property owner and against the condemning authority. Any
8 ambiguity shall be resolved to limit rather than expand the power to
9 condemn private property.

10 C. In any judicial condemnation proceeding, the owner of an
11 interest in property sought to be condemned shall be entitled,
12 through the timely filing of an exception to the report of the
13 commissioners in such proceeding, to a hearing on the issues of
14 public use and/or necessity of the taking. At any such hearing, the
15 condemning authority shall bear the burden of proving by a
16 preponderance of the evidence that the proposed taking is for a
17 public use authorized by law, and that the property sought to be
18 taken is reasonably necessary to accomplish that public use.
19 Necessity shall be proven separately for each individual part,
20 parcel, or tract of property sought to be taken, and no taking may
21 be justified solely by general project necessity. The condemning
22 authority shall show by a preponderance of the evidence that no
23 feasible alternative route, alignment, design, or non-condemnation
24 option exists that would satisfy the public use with less harm to

1 private property, and that all alternatives have been analyzed in
2 good faith using competent engineering, environmental, and financial
3 methods. The burden of proof shall remain with the condemning
4 authority at all times, and a resolution of necessity from the
5 condemning authority shall not, in and of itself, be conclusive or
6 sufficient evidence of necessity or lawful public use.

7 D. At least sixty (60) days prior to any hearing on the issues
8 of public use and/or necessity of the taking, the condemning
9 authority shall provide the property owner with all:

- 10 1. Engineering studies, surveys, routing analyses, maps,
11 designs, and feasibility reports;
- 12 2. Environmental reviews, assessments, and determinations;
- 13 3. Financial analyses and justifications for the project;
- 14 4. Communications and memoranda relating to routing, necessity,
15 or alternatives; and
- 16 5. Internal and external evaluations of project purpose or
17 public use.

18 E. Property owners invoking their right to a hearing shall have
19 a right to conduct any and all discovery, and issue any and all
20 subpoenas, authorized under the Oklahoma Discovery and Pleading
21 Codes. Subject to the Oklahoma Discovery Code, discovery disputes
22 shall be resolved in favor of disclosure of information to the
23 property owner.

1 F. If, upon a hearing on public use and/or necessity, the
2 condemning authority shall fail to establish its right to condemn
3 the property, or any part thereof, the property owner shall be
4 entitled to an award of the property owners' reasonable attorneys'
5 fees, costs, and expert witness fees, to be taxed and collected as
6 costs in a civil action.

7 SECTION 2. This act shall become effective November 1, 2026.
8

9 60-2-16048 JL 02/02/26
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24